## The Red \& Blue Nation

- 2000 \& 2004 election outcomes
- Partisanship
- Ideology
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Source: Dave Leip's Atlas of U.S. Presidential Elections

## Partisanship

- Definition \& measurement
- Direction versus intensity
- Origins of partisanship
- Demographic groups and partisanship
- Effects of partisanship direction
- Effects of partisanship intensity
- Changes in partisanship


## Party Identification

- Long term preference for one party over the other


## Measuring Party Identification:

## First Question NES

- "Generally speaking, do you usually
$\qquad$ think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, and Independent, or what?"
$\qquad$
- 3 broad groups
$\qquad$
- Democrats
- independents
- Republicans


## Measuring Party Identification: Second Question NES

- "Would you call yourself a strong (Republican/Democrat) or a not very strong (Republican/Democrat)?"
- "Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican party or to the Democratic party?" (NES - National Election Studies)


## Measuring Party Identification

$\qquad$

- 7 specific groups
- strong Republicans
- weak Republicans
- independents leaning toward Republicans $\qquad$
- pure independents
- independents leaning toward Democrats
$\qquad$
- weak Democrats
- strong Democrats
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Party Identification, 2004
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2004 NES

## Partisan Direction

- Democrats versus Republicans


## Partisan Intensity

- Intensity of partisanship
- strong partisans (Dem + Rep)
- weak partisans (Dem + Rep)
- leaning independents
- pure independents

Party Identification - Intensity


Independents
Partisans

## Origins of Partisanship

- Childhood
- Political eras


## Intergenerational Partisanship

Party of Parent (1965)
Dem. Indep. Rep.

| Child in | Dem. | 51\% | 27\% | 10\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | Indep. | 39 | 51 | 46 |
| as | Rep. | 10 | 22 | 44 |
| Young Adult |  | 295 | 192 | 211 |

## Who Are the Partisans

- Demographic groups associated with each party
- Reasons


Notes: leaners $=$ partisans, NES $2000 \quad \mathrm{~V}=.27^{* *}$



Age and Party Identification

$\mathrm{V}=.14^{* *}$
2000 NES

## Gender and Party

 Identification

Women $54 \%$ Democrat - Men $46 \%$ Democrat $=8$ point gap $\qquad$

## Effects of Partisanship

- Shapes vote
- Shapes attitudes

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Partisanship and Government Spending


$\qquad$
Values are percent of each partisan group with specific attitude $\qquad$


## Partisanship and Legal Abortion



Effects of Intensity of Partisanship

## Partisan Intensity and Interest


$\mathrm{V}=.29^{* *}$
Source: NES 2000 $\qquad$

## Partisan Intensity and Knowledge


$\mathrm{V}=.13^{* *}$
Correctly identify: Blair, Lott, Rehnquist, Reno
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Changes in Partisanship

- Democrats v. Republicans
- More independents
- Other trends
- Defections in voting
- Split-ticket voting
- Differences in parties


## Changes in Party Preferences
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$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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Changes: Whites v. Blacks


Data are percent Democrat (including leaners) in NES

Changes: South v. Nonsouth


Rise in Independents


## Split-Ticket Voting



Note: Split-ticket vote is between President and House

## Public: Important Differences in What Two Parties Stand For
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## Ideology

- Philosophy about government
- Liberals v. conservatives
- Ideological identities
- Use of ideology
- Match between partisanship \& ideology


## Liberal v. Conservative

- Economic issues $\qquad$
- Business
- Crime
- Social issues
- Military


## Ideological Self-Placement

- NES - "Here is a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?"

| Extremely <br> Liberal | Lib. | Slightly <br> Lib. | Moderate | Slightly <br> Conser. | Extremely <br> Conser. <br> Conservative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Trends in Ideological SelfPlacement


## Ideology \& Government Role

|  | Liberal | Moderate | Conserv. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fewer <br> services | $9 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| Between | $19 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| More <br> services | $71 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Number of <br> cases | 216 | 273 | 351 |

2004 NES
Cramer's V = .293**

Ideology \& International Policy

|  | Liberal | Moderate | Conserv. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diplomacy | $67 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Between | 20 | 29 | 29 |
| Military | 12 | 31 | 50 |
| Number of <br> cases | 226 | 268 | 354 |
| 2004 NES |  |  |  |

Ideology \& Abortion

|  | Liberal | Moderate | Conserv. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not permit | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Limited | 31 | 52 | 52 |
| No <br> restrictions | 63 | 41 | 28 |
| Number of <br> cases | 209 | 252 | 347 |

2004 NES
Cramer's V = .223**

## Partisanship and Ideology <br> 

## Ideological Realignment

- Abramowitz v. Fiorina


## What Should You Know?

- Partisan basis of recent elections
- Partisanship
- Definition \& measurement
- Direction \& intensity
- Origins \& composition
- Effects
- Ideology
- Ideological realignment


[^0]:    Source: National Election Studies

[^1]:    Source: November 2007 Gallup/USA Today poll

