

# Continuous Functions in Metric Spaces

Throughout this section let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces.

**Definition:** Let  $\bar{x} \in X$ . A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous at  $\bar{x}$  if for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  that converges to  $\bar{x}$ , the sequence  $\{f(x_n)\}$  converges to  $f(\bar{x})$ .

**Definition:** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous if it is continuous at every point in  $X$ .

**Theorem:** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous at  $\bar{x}$  if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_X(x, \bar{x}) < \delta \Rightarrow d_Y(f(x), f(\bar{x})) < \epsilon$  — *i.e.*,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 : \exists \delta > 0 : x \in B(\bar{x}, \delta) \Rightarrow f(x) \in B(f(\bar{x}), \epsilon). \quad (*)$$

**Proof:**

( $\Rightarrow$ ):) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose, by way of contradiction, that there is no  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_X(x, \bar{x}) < \delta \Rightarrow d_Y(f(x), f(\bar{x})) < \epsilon$  — *i.e.*,

$$\forall \delta > 0 : \exists x \in B(\bar{x}, \delta) \text{ for which } f(x) \notin B(f(\bar{x}), \epsilon).$$

Then, in particular, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\frac{1}{n}$  play the role of  $\delta$  above: there is an  $x_n \in B(\bar{x}, \frac{1}{n})$  for which  $f(x_n) \notin B(f(\bar{x}), \epsilon)$ . We therefore have a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  that converges to  $\bar{x}$  but the sequence  $\{f(x_n)\}$  does not converge to  $f(\bar{x})$ , contradicting our assumption that  $f$  is continuous.

( $\Leftarrow$ ):) Assume that  $(*)$  holds, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence that converges to  $\bar{x}$ . In order to show that  $\{f(x_n)\}$  converges to  $f(\bar{x})$ , let  $\epsilon > 0$ . According to  $(*)$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  for which

$$x \in B(\bar{x}, \delta) \Rightarrow f(x) \in B(f(\bar{x}), \epsilon).$$

Since  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ , we can choose  $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > \bar{n} \Rightarrow x_n \in B(\bar{x}, \delta)$ . But then

$$n > \bar{n} \Rightarrow f(x_n) \in B(f(\bar{x}), \epsilon);$$

*i.e.*,  $\{f(x_n)\}$  converges to  $f(\bar{x})$ , and  $f$  is therefore continuous at  $\bar{x}$ . □

**Remark:** For functions  $f$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  this theorem says that  $f$  is continuous at  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|x - \bar{x}\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|f(x) - f(\bar{x})\| < \epsilon$ .

**Theorem:** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous if and only if for every open set  $V$  in  $Y$  the inverse image  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an open set in  $X$ .

**Proof:** Exercise.

An elementary consequence of the preceding theorem is its analogue in terms of closed sets:

**Theorem:** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous if and only if for every closed set  $S$  in  $Y$  the inverse image  $f^{-1}(S)$  is a closed set in  $X$ .

This gives us four equivalent definitions of a continuous function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $Y$ :

If for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  that converges to  $\bar{x}$ , the sequence  $\{f(x_n)\}$  converges to  $f(\bar{x})$ .

If for every  $\bar{x} \in X : \forall \epsilon > 0 : \exists \delta > 0 : x \in B(\bar{x}, \delta) \Rightarrow f(x) \in B(f(\bar{x}), \epsilon)$ .

If the inverse image of any open set in  $Y$  is an open set in  $X$ .

If the inverse image of any closed set in  $Y$  is a closed set in  $X$ .

**Remark:** We've already seen applications of these ideas to preferences and utility functions, and to the possibility of representing a preference by a utility function.

**Remark:** When the target space  $Y$  is actually a normed vector space, it's natural to define the sum and scalar multiple of continuous functions pointwise — *i.e.*, the functions  $f + g : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\alpha f : X \rightarrow Y$  are defined by  $\forall x \in X : (f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$  and  $\forall x \in X : (\alpha f)(x) = \alpha f(x)$ . Then the set  $C(X; Y)$  of all continuous functions on  $X$  into  $Y$ , with these definitions of addition and scalar multiplication, is a vector space.

**Proof:** Exercise. This requires showing that  $C(X; Y)$  is “closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication.” This does not mean that  $C(X; Y)$  is a closed set, but rather that if  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $C(X; Y)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f + g$  and  $\alpha f$  are in  $C(X; Y)$  — *i.e.*, that the sum of continuous functions is a continuous function, and that a multiple of a continuous function is a continuous function.

For real-valued functions (*i.e.*, if  $Y = \mathbb{R}$ ), we can also define the product  $fg$  and (if  $\forall x \in X : f(x) \neq 0$ ) the reciprocal  $1/f$  of functions pointwise, and we can show that if  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous then so are  $fg$  and  $1/f$ .

**Remark:** If  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  are metric spaces, and if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  are continuous, then the composition  $f \circ g : X \rightarrow Z$  is continuous.

In Euclidean space (*i.e.*,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with any norm) we say that a set is **compact** if it's both closed and bounded. One of the most important properties of continuous functions is that they “preserve” compactness — *i.e.*, if  $X$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and if  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a continuous function, then the image of  $X$ ,  $f(X)$ , is a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . This is the Weierstrass Theorem. In fact, the Weierstrass Theorem holds in general metric spaces:

**Weierstrass Theorem:** If  $X$  is compact and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, then  $f(X)$  is a compact subset of  $Y$ .

**Corollary:** If  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous real-valued function on a compact set, then  $f$  attains a maximum and a minimum on  $X$ .

Instead of proving the Weierstrass Theorem here, we defer the proof until after we've developed our next important concept, the Bolzano-Weierstrass (B-W) Property. There are two good reasons for waiting until then to do the proof: (1) we need the B-W Property in order to generalize the notion of a compact set to general metric spaces, and (2) the theorem's proof is *much* easier using the B-W Property in the general setting than if we were to do it using the closed-and-bounded definition of compactness in Euclidean space.