

# NONCOOPERATIVE GAMES AND NASH EQUILIBRIUM

FOR ANY POSITIVE INTEGER  $n$ , AN  $n$ -PLAYER NONCOOPERATIVE NORMAL FORM GAME (OR JUST AN  $n$ -PLAYER GAME) CONSISTS OF

- (1)  $n$  STRATEGY SETS  $S_1, \dots, S_n$ ,  
AND (2)  $n$  PAYOFF FUNCTIONS  $\pi_i: S_1 \times \dots \times S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ );  
I.E., A GAME IS AN  $n$ -TUPLE  $(S_i, \pi_i)_i^n$ , WHERE  
 $\pi_i: \prod_{i=1}^n S_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  FOR EACH  $i$ .

## A USEFUL ALTERNATIVE FORMULATION:

DEFN: A GAME FORM CONSISTS OF

- (1)  $n$  STRATEGY SETS  $S_1, \dots, S_n$   
AND (2) AN OUTCOME FUNCTION  $\phi: S_1 \times \dots \times S_n \rightarrow X$ ,  
WHERE  $X$  IS A SET OF POSSIBLE OUTCOMES.

REMARK: GIVEN A GAME FORM  $(S_1, \dots, S_n; \phi)$  AND  $n$  UTILITY FUNCTIONS  $u_i: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ), WE CAN DEFINE  $n$  PAYOFF FUNCTIONS

$\pi_i(s_1, \dots, s_n) := u_i(\phi(s_1, \dots, s_n))$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$ ,  
AND THE RESULTING  $n$ -TUPLE  $(S_i, \pi_i)_i^n$  IS AN  $n$ -PLAYER GAME.

## NASH EQUILIBRIUM:

DEFN: A NASH EQUILIBRIUM OF THE  $n$ -PLAYER GAME  $(S_i, \pi_i)_i^n$  IS AN  $n$ -TUPLE  $\hat{S} = (\hat{s}_1, \dots, \hat{s}_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n S_i$  THAT SATISFIES

$$\forall i: \forall s_i \in S_i: \pi_i(\hat{S}) \geq \pi_i(s_i, \hat{s}_{-i}),$$

WHERE  $(s_i, \hat{s}_{-i}) := (\hat{s}_1, \dots, \hat{s}_{i-1}, s_i, \hat{s}_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{s}_n)$ .

### EXAMPLE 1: (COURNOT EQUILIBRIUM)

$s_i \in S_i$  IS THE QUANTITY CHOICE  $q_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ;

THE PAYOFF FUNCTION  $\pi_i$  IS FIRM  $i$ 'S PROFIT FUNCTION (WHICH WE ALSO DENOTED  $\Pi_i$ ).

### EXAMPLE 2: (BERTRAND EQUILIBRIUM)

$s_i \in S_i$  IS FIRM  $i$ 'S PRICE  $p_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ;

THE PAYOFF FUNCTION  $\pi_i$  IS FIRM  $i$ 'S PROFIT FUNCTION  $\Pi_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ .

## A PLAYER'S REACTION FUNCTION

(OR BEST-RESPONSE FUNCTION)

DEFN: IN AN  $n$ -PLAYER GAME  $(S_i, \pi_i)_i^n$ ,  
PLAYER  $i$ 'S REACTION CORRESPONDENCE IS  
DEFINED BY

$$r_i(s_{-i}) := \left\{ s_i \in S_i \mid \forall s'_i \in S_i: \pi_i(s_i, s_{-i}) \geq \pi_i(s'_i, s_{-i}) \right\}.$$

IN OTHER WORDS, FOR ANY CONFIGURATION  $s_{-i}$  OF CHOICES BY THE OTHER  $n-1$  PLAYERS,  $r_i(s_{-i})$  IS THE SET OF ALL CHOICES  $s_i$  BY PLAYER  $i$  THAT ARE BEST CHOICES AGAINST  $s_{-i}$  — i.e., CHOICES FOR  $i$  THAT WOULD BE "BEST RESPONSES" IF  $i$  KNEW THAT THE OTHERS WOULD CHOOSE  $s_{-i}$ .

NOTE THAT  $r_i(\cdot)$  WILL GENERALLY BE A CORRESPONDENCE (i.e., WILL NOT BE SINGLE-VALUED), AND THAT IF  $\pi_i$  IS NOT CONTINUOUS OR IF STRATEGY SPACES ARE NOT COMPACT, THEN  $r_i(s_{-i})$  MAY BE UNDEFINED FOR SOME  $s_{-i}$ .

# SOME SIMPLE TWO-PLAYER GAMES

① "APPROACHING ONE ANOTHER ON A ROAD"

		EAST	
		L	R
WEST	L	0, 0	-1, -1
	R	-1, -1	0, 0

TWO EQUILIBRIA: (L, L) AND (R, R).  
(IN PURE STRATEGIES)

② "MATCHING PENNIES"

		COLUMN	
		H	T
ROW	H	-1, 1	1, -1
	T	1, -1	-1, 1

NO EQUILIBRIUM (IN PURE STRATEGIES).

③ "PAPER, SCISSORS, ROCK"

		COLUMN		
		P	S	R
ROW	P	0, 0	-1, 1	1, -1
	S	1, -1	0, 0	-1, 1
	R	-1, 1	1, -1	0, 0

NO EQUILIBRIUM (IN PURE STRATEGIES).

④

	L	M	R
T	1, 0	-1, 0	0, -1
B	1, 0	2, -1	-1, 1

ONLY EQUIL'UM IS (T, L), BUT  
T IS NOT UNIQUELY BEST  
AGAINST L, NOR L AGAINST T.

⑤

	L	R
T	1, 1	2, 0
B	0, 2	1, 1

ONLY EQUIL'UM IS (T, L).  
BOTH T AND L ARE  
DOMINANT STRATEGIES.

REACTION FUNCTIONS IN  
THE EXAMPLES:

(1)

$s_2$	L	R
$r_1(s_2)$	L	R

$s_1$	L	R
$r_2(s_1)$	L	R

(2)

$s_2$	H	T
$r_1(s_2)$	T	H

$s_1$	H	T
$r_2(s_1)$	H	T

(3)

$s_2$	P	S	R
$r_1(s_2)$	S	R	P

$s_1$	<del>P</del>	S	R
$r_2(s_1)$	S	R	P

(4)

$s_2$	L	M	R
$r_1(s_2)$	{T, B}	B	T

$s_1$	T	B
$r_2(s_1)$	{L, M}	<del>R</del>

(5)

$s_2$	L	R
$r_1(s_2)$	T	T

$s_1$	T	B
$r_2(s_1)$	L	L