

THE ARROW-DEBREU CONTINGENT CLAIMS MODEL: AN INTERPRETATION OF THE WALRASIAN GE MODEL

IF $S = \emptyset$ IT'S OUR
INTERTEMPORAL
MODEL

THE BASIC GE MODEL

THE ARROW-DEBREU MODEL

BUNDLES,
CONSUMPTION PLANS
 $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^l$

$$(x_0, (x_s)_{s \in S}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^C \times \mathbb{R}_+^{SC} = \mathbb{R}_+^{(1+S)C}$$

PREFERENCE \succeq ON \mathbb{R}_+^l
OR $u: \mathbb{R}_+^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

\succeq ON $\mathbb{R}_+^{(1+S)C}$
OR $u: \mathbb{R}_+^{(1+S)C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

PRICES $p \in \mathbb{R}_+^l$

$$(p_0, (p_s)_{s \in S}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(1+S)C}$$

BUDGET
CONSTRAINT $p \cdot x \leq p \cdot \bar{x}$

$$p_0 \cdot x_0 + \sum_{s \in S} p_s \cdot x_s \leq p_0 \cdot \bar{x}_0 + \sum_{s \in S} p_s \cdot \bar{x}_s$$

$$\uparrow = \sum_{c \in C} p_{sc} x_{sc}$$

FOR THE MOST PART WE'RE GOING TO KEEP THINGS
SIMPLE BY SETTING $C = 1$:

PLAN: $(x_0, (x_s)_s) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{1+S}$

UTILITY FUNCTION: $u: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

BUDGET CONSTRAINT: $p_0 x_0 + \sum_{s \in S} p_s x_s \leq p_0 \bar{x}_0 + \sum_{s \in S} p_s \bar{x}_s$

NOT DOT
PRODUCTS

★ → THE DEFINITIONS AND THEOREMS FROM OUR
BASIC GE MODEL STILL APPLY HERE.

INTERPRETATION:

x_{sc} IS THE QUANTITY OF COMMODITY C ONE IS CONTRACTING TO HAVE DELIVERED TO HIM (AT A GIVEN TIME IN THE FUTURE) IF AND ONLY IF STATE S OCCURS. DELIVERY IS "CONTINGENT" ON STATE S OCCURRING, AND $(x_{sc})_{s \in S}$ IS THEREFORE A RANDOM VECTOR, FOR ANY $c \in C$.

p_{sc} IS THE PRICE ONE MUST PAY TODAY FOR A UNIT CLAIM ON SC (i.e., TO OBTAIN $x_{sc} = 1$), AND THE PRICE RECEIVED TODAY FOR A PROMISE TO DELIVER ONE UNIT "TOMORROW."

ALL TRANSACTIONS (AND DOLLAR PAYMENTS) TAKE PLACE TODAY. ALL DELIVERIES ^{x_{sc}} TAKE PLACE TOMORROW IF AND ONLY IF THE DESIGNATED STATE S OCCURS. THE QUANTITIES (DELIVERIES) ^{x_{sc}} ARE CONTINGENT; THE PRICES p_{sc} (AND PAYMENTS) ARE NOT CONTINGENT.

THE CONTINGENT CLAIMS MODEL IS SIMPLY A REINTERPRETATION OF OUR STANDARD WALRASIAN MODEL:

EACH CONSUMER'S UMP IS THE SAME AS BEFORE:

$$\max u(x) \text{ s.t. } p_0 \cdot x_0 + \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{c \in C} p_{sc} x_{sc} \leq p_0 \cdot \dot{x}_0 + \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{c \in C} p_{sc} \dot{x}_{sc}.$$

NOTE THAT THERE IS STILL JUST A SINGLE CONSTRAINT.

AN EQUILIBRIUM IS THE SAME AS BEFORE:

$$\text{A PAIR } (p, x) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^C \times \mathbb{R}_+^{S \times C}) \times (\mathbb{R}_+^{nc} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{n(S \times C)})$$

THAT SATISFIES THE (U-MAX) AND (M-CLR) CONDITIONS. THE CONDITIONS ARE UNCHANGED.

NOTE: ~~FROM THE STANDARD MODEL~~

$$p = (p_0, (p_s)_{s \in S}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^C \times \mathbb{R}_+^{S \times C},$$

$$\text{WHERE } p_0 = (p_{0c})_{c \in C} \in \mathbb{R}_+^C$$

$$p_s = (p_{sc})_{c \in C} \in \mathbb{R}_+^C, \forall s \in S$$

$$x = ((x_0^i, (x_s^i)_{s \in S}))_{i \in N} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{nc} \times \mathbb{R}_+^{n(S \times C)}$$

$$\text{WHERE } x_0^i = (x_{0c}^i)_{c \in C} \in \mathbb{R}_+^C$$

$$x_s^i = (x_{sc}^i)_{c \in C} \in \mathbb{R}_+^C, \forall s \in S.$$

ONLY THE NOTATION IS CHANGED, FROM $k=1, \dots, l$ TO $c \in C$ AT $t=0$ AND $s \in S \times C$ AT $t=1$.

THE PRICE OF AN EVENT-CONTINGENT CLAIM:

... IS JUST THE SUM OF THE A-D PRICES OF THE CORRESPONDING STATE-CONTINGENT CLAIMS:

$$P_E = \sum_{S \in E} P_S \text{ FOR AN EVENT } E \subseteq S$$

$$\text{(i.e., } P_{E_c} = \sum_{S \in E} P_{S_c} \text{ FOR A GOOD } c \in C).$$

FOR EXAMPLE: (w/ ONLY ONE GOOD)

$$S = \{H, M, L\}; \text{ LET } E = \{H, M\} \text{ AND } E' = S = \{H, M, L\}.$$

$$\text{THEN } P_E = P_H + P_M \text{ AND } P_{E'} = P_H + P_M + P_L.$$

↑
THE PRICE OF A CONTRACT
FOR DELIVERY IF
 $S \in E = \{H, M\}$

↑
THE PRICE OF A CONTRACT
FOR CERTAIN DELIVERY,
i.e., IF $S \in E' = S$.

↑ ↑
i.e., THESE ARE THE PRICES FOR
DELIVERY OF EACH UNIT IN
THE RESPECTIVE EVENTS.

BUT ARE THERE REALLY MARKETS LIKE THIS
— i.e., A MARKET (FOR EACH $S \in S$ AND EACH $C \in C$)
IN WHICH ONE CAN BUY OR SELL A CONTRACT FOR
DELIVERY OF x_{sc} UNITS OF GOOD C IF AND ONLY IF
STATE s OCCURS? AT A PRICE p_{sc} TO BE PAID
TODAY? GENERALLY THERE AREN'T.

THE ARROW-DEBREU MODEL ASSUMES THERE
ARE — NOT AS A MODEL OF REALITY, BUT AS
A BENCHMARK AGAINST WHICH TO EVALUATE
ALTERNATIVE MARKET STRUCTURES, AND AS
A CONCEPTUAL DEVICE TO HELP US UNDERSTAND
AND MODEL MARKETS (FOR COMMODITIES AND
FOR SECURITIES) WHEN THERE IS UNCERTAINTY.