

CE 467 / 567 Highway Safety and Operations
Homework 5

Due Tuesday, October 31, 2006

Problem 1

A roadway site is recorded to have 90 crashes over a 5-year period. Over that same period, an equivalent comparison group of eight sites recorded 75, 78, 79, 81, 81, 84, 88, and 97 crashes.

After a safety treatment, the frequency of crashes averaged 14 crashes per year, at the original site.

- (a) Ignoring the regression-to-the-mean effect, what is the net safety benefit of the treatment?
- (b) Consider the regression-to-the-mean effect, and re-calculate the net safety benefit of the treatment. Use an Empirical Bayes analysis.
- (c) Why does your estimate in part (b) differ from that in part (a)? Briefly explain (in a paragraph) why these estimates differ. In your explanation, you might try using your own words to describe why one might use the Empirical Bayes method.

Problem 2

A researcher has recently estimated a statistical model to describe the crash frequency at rural, signalized intersections of two-lane highways. The model was estimated as:

$$\ln(I) = -7.3834 + 0.7249 \cdot \ln(AADT1) + 0.3110 \cdot \ln(AADT2) \\ - 0.7381 \cdot PROTLT - 0.0116 \cdot PKLEFT - 0.0740 \cdot VEICOM + 0.0233 \cdot PKTRUCK$$

where: I = crash frequency in the intersection

$AADT1$ = Average annual daily traffic (AADT) on the major road

$AADT2$ = AADT on the minor road

$PROTLT$ = 1 if there is a separate (protected) left-turn lane in the intersection, 0 otherwise

$PKLEFT$ = Percentage of movements in the peak hour that are left turns from the minor street

$VEICOM$ = Average (absolute) percent change of grade across all approaches to the intersection

$PKTRUCK$ = Percentage of vehicles that are trucks moving through the intersection in the peak hour

- (a) From this model, what factors increase the crash frequency? Do these make sense to you, intuitively? [Some discussion is expected in your response.]
- (b) From this model, what factors decrease the crash frequency? Again, do these make sense to you? [Some discussion is expected in your response.]
- (c) Considering your answers to (a) and (b), would it make you feel better or worse about the model if the coefficients of $PKLEFT$ and $VEICOM$ were found to be statistically insignificant (i.e., not significantly different from zero)? Explain your answer.
- (d) Does the crash frequency increase *linearly* with the traffic volume? Based on your answer, is it reasonable to use a crash *rate* (e.g., in crashes per million vehicle entries) rather than the crash frequency to describe crashes at these intersections? Discuss your answer in a brief paragraph.
- (e) In the calibration data, the mean values of λ (crashes/yr), $AADT1$, $AADT2$, and $PKTRUCK$ were 5.9, 9126, 3544, and 8.96 respectively. $PKLEFT$ and $VEICOM$ can be ignored. Finally, 30% of the signalized intersections had a protected left turn lane ($PROTLT = 1$). Based on this, what is the estimated benefit of installing a protected left turn lane? (A quantitative answer is expected).