1). What is a deponent verb? Describe the three types of deponents with which you are familiar.

Deponent verbs are verbs which lack an active voice and which show only middle or passive forms. Middle deponents are verbs that have principal parts entirely in the middle voice. They are translated by English active verbs with no additional middle force. Passive deponents are essentially middle deponents whose Aorist middle (principal part \#3) has been replaced by an aorist passive (principal part \#6). Their forms, too, are translated by an English active verb. Finally, there are also partial deponents, verbs that lack an active voice in one or more tenses, but not throughout their conjugation. The deponent tenses are translated by English actives, but all other tenses are translated as per the usual.
2). What common Greek phrase means "to pay the penalty"?

That would be $\delta i ́ \kappa \eta v \delta i \delta o ́ v \alpha$, which, by the way, NEVER means "to give justice".


|  | When used in the attributive position, $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o \varsigma, ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \eta, ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v ~ m e a n s ~$ "middle". |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | When it precedes the article, it means "middle of" (with the following noun). |

4). List seven Greek enclitics.
$\gamma \varepsilon$, "at any rate; at least" $-\pi \varepsilon \rho$ (adds force to preceding word)
$\pi \circ \tau \varepsilon$, "at some time, ever"
$\pi \mathrm{ov}$, "I suppose; somewhere"
$\pi \omega \varsigma$, "in any way, in some way"
$\tau \varepsilon$, "and"
$\tau 01$, "let me tell you, you know"
 followed by an enclitic. Does the enclitic take an accent?

When such a word is followed by an enclitic, the word receives an additional acute accent on its final syllable (e.g.: ${ }_{\alpha} \nu v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ ó $\varsigma$ or $\left.\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \varepsilon i v \alpha \dot{\alpha}\right)$. There is no accent on the enclitic.
6). Describe what happens to a word with an accent on its final syllable (e.g.: $\delta \eta \mu$ ıov $\rho \gamma{ }^{\prime} \varsigma$ or $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} v$ ) when it is followed by an enclitic. Does the enclitic take an accent?

When such a word is followed by an enclitic, the accent on the word remains unchanged (e.g.: $\delta \eta \mu$ ıov $\rho \gamma{ }^{\prime} \varsigma$ or $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} v$ ), save that acutes are not changed to graves. There is no accent on the enclitic.
7). When does a disyllabic enclitic take an accent?

Only in the case of a word with an acute accent on the penult followed by a disyllabic enclitic does the enclitic have an accent, an acute on the final syllable of the word (e.g.: $\dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \tau \imath \sigma i v)$. $\tau \imath \omega \omega v$, however, takes a circumflex ( $\tau \imath v \hat{\omega} v$ ).
8). What happens accent-wise if a series of enclitics occurs?

In a series of enclitics, each takes an acute accent from the following enclitic. The final enclitic in such a series has no accent, however (e.g.: દ̇óv $\pi \mathrm{o} \tau \varepsilon ́ \tau i ́ \varsigma ~ \tau i ́ ~ \tau \imath v \imath ~ \delta i \delta \widehat{̣})$.
9). What are correlative adjectives? Give the (three separate) dictionary entries for one set of correlatives.

Correlative adjectives are adjectives that have interrelated interrogative (beginning with $\pi$ ), demonstrative (beginning with $\tau$ )


 large"; and öбos, ö $\sigma \eta$, öбov, "as much/many as, as large as; how much/many!, how large!".
10). What does the verb $\varphi \eta \mu$ í mean when negated?

When negated, $\varphi \eta \mu i ́$ means "say no" or "deny", NOT "do not say".
11). Describe how the verb $\varepsilon \frac{1}{\mu}$ ı is employed in Attic prose (i.e., What other Greek verb is it often substituted for in various tenses?)
 present indicative. In indirect statement the optative, infinitive and participle of $\varepsilon i \hat{\mu} \mathrm{l}$ can stand for forms of $\varepsilon i \hat{\mu} \mu$ in an original statement and therefore represent an original future or they can stand for forms of ${ }_{\varepsilon} \rho \chi o \mu \alpha 1$ and therefore represent an original present tense.
12). Describe the following aspects of the verb é $\chi$ : difference between the imperfect and aorist tenses, difference between the two forms of the second principal part, meaning when accompanied by a complementary infinitive, meaning when accompanied by an adverb.

The future $\ddot{\varepsilon} \xi \omega$ of the verb $\ddot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ has progressive/repeated aspect and is used of an action that lasts; the future $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ has simple aspect. As always, the imperfect has progressive/repeated aspect ("was/were holding") and the aorist simple aspect ("took hold of"). When $\begin{gathered} \\ \\ \chi\end{gathered} \omega$ is accompanied by a complementary infinitive it typically has the meaning "be able to". When a form of $\ddot{\varepsilon} \chi \omega$ is accompanied by an adverb, the resulting phrase is the equivalent of the verb "to be" with an adjective.
13). Describe the two basic meanings of the verb $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ and indicate when each is likely to occur.

When $\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega$ means "be about/likely to" it governs a future (sometimes present) infinitive. When it is used by itself, or with a present infinitive, it means "delay".
14). Describe the situation(s) under which the case of a relative pronoun is likely to be attracted into that of its antecedent (original case of pronoun and case of antecedent).

Attraction of the relative pronoun into the case of its antecedent is most likely to occur when the relative pronoun is (originally) Accusative and the case of the antecedent is either Genitive or Dative.
15). Under what circumstances is the antecedent of a relative pronoun sometimes left out?

The antecedent of a relative pronoun is often omitted when said antecedent is either indefinite or demonstrative.
16). What is a periphrastic verb form? (See the vocabulary notes for the verb $\alpha i \sigma \chi$ vivouct.)

A periphrastic verb form is a verb form that consists of two or more parts. For example, the second person singular, perfect indicative middle/passive of $\alpha i \sigma \chi \hat{v} v o \mu \alpha 1$ is $\grave{\eta} \sigma \chi \nu \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \varsigma ~(-\alpha,-$ ov $) \varepsilon i ̂$. The form consists of a Nominative singular form of the perfect middle/passive participle and the present indicative active, second person singular of $\varepsilon i \mu i$ i. The form is translated like any other second person singular, perfect indicative middle/passive (of a deponent), however: "you have felt shame".
17). With what verb does кєîmar have a special relationship? What is the nature of this relationship?

The present tense of $\kappa \varepsilon \hat{\mu} \mu \alpha$ serves as the perfect passive of $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \mathrm{l}$, and the imperfect as its pluperfect.
18). When paivต means "appear", what is the difference between using it with a participle and with an infinitive?

When $\varphi \alpha$ ív $\omega$ means "appear", the infinitive helps to describe an apparent state of being. The overall construction does not indicate that this state of being is true or real, however (e.g.: ¢ $\alpha$ ívet $\alpha ⿺$ к $\alpha$ кò $\varepsilon$ عiv $\alpha 1$, "he appears to be bad"). With the participle, the construction indicates that a certain act or state of being is, in fact, true or real (e.g.: poivetal к $\alpha \kappa$ òs $\omega \mathrm{v}$, "he is apparent, being bad" = "it is apparent that he is bad").
19). Describe the uses of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v ́ \omega$ to mean "hear" and "be spoken of".

When $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v ́ \omega$ means "hear", it can take an Accusative of the thing heard and/or a Genitive of the person heard (speaking). When it means "be spoken of" (also in the active voice) it can take a Genitive of personal agent.

