

28

Rapid #: -2894414**Ariel****IP: 150.135.238.50**

Status	Rapid Code	Branch Name	Start Date	Center for Research Libraries GLOBAL RESOURCES NETWORK
Pending	CRL	Main Library	10/24/2009 9:44:17 PM	

CALL #: C-27124**LOCATION:** CRL :: Main Library :: crls

TYPE: Article CC:CCL
JOURNAL TITLE: Annales Polonici Mathematici
USER JOURNAL TITLE: Annales Polonici mathematici.
CRL CATALOG TITLE: Annales Polonici mathematici
ARTICLE TITLE: Ultra-weak convergence in L_p
ARTICLE AUTHOR: D.E. Myers
VOLUME: 32
ISSUE: 1
MONTH:
YEAR: 1976
PAGES: 71-75
ISSN: 0066-2216
OCLC #: 421512062
CROSS REFERENCE ID: 705312
VERIFIED:

BORROWER: AZU :: Main Library**PATRON:** Myers, Donald E

PATRON ID:
PATRON ADDRESS:
PATRON PHONE:
PATRON FAX:
PATRON E-MAIL:
PATRON DEPT:
PATRON STATUS:
PATRON NOTES: universityofarizona.worldcat.org:worldca



This material may be protected by copyright law (Title 17 U.S. Code)
System Date/Time: 10/26/2009 8:12:10 AM MST

On ultra-weak convergence in L^p

by DONALD E. MYERS (Tucson, Ariz.)

Abstract. Let $\{\varphi_n\}$ be a sequence in L^p on the unit circle such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_T f(e^{i\theta}) \varphi_n(\theta) d\theta = l(f) \quad \text{exists for all } f \in H^q, 1/p + 1/q = 1, 1 < p < \infty.$$

Then there exists $\varphi \in L^p$ such that

$$l(f) = \int_T f(e^{i\theta}) \varphi(\theta) d\theta$$

for all $f \in H^q$. The result is known for $p = 1$, $q = \infty$, the purpose of this paper is to supply the proofs for the remaining cases.

1. Introduction. Let A denote the unit disk and T its boundary. L^p denotes the usual Lebesgue space considered on T and H^q the Hardy space on A . If f is in H^q , then $f(e^{i\theta})$, the boundary function of f , is considered as an element of L^p .

Piranian, Shields and Wells [5] proved the following; which was conjectured by Taylor [6]

THEOREM 1. *Let the sequence $\{a_0, a_1, \dots\}$ of complex numbers have the property that for each function $\sum b_n z^n$ in H^∞ the limit*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \sum a_n b_n r^n$$

exists and is finite. Then there exists a function $\varphi \in L^1(0, 2\pi)$ such that

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(t) e^{int} dt = \hat{\varphi}(n) \quad (n \geq 0).$$

The converse is true. At the end of [5], they conjecture Theorem 2 which if true would imply Theorem 1. Kahane [2] has shown that Theorem 2 is true if H^∞ is replaced by A . A denotes the subspace, of H^∞ , of functions having continuous boundary values. Mooney [4] then completed the proof of Theorem 2 utilizing Kahane's result.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\{\varphi_n\} \subset L^1$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \varphi_n(\theta) d\theta = l(f)$$

for all $f \in H^\infty$. Then there exists $\varphi \in L^1$ such that $l(f) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})\varphi(\theta) d\theta$ for all $f \in H^\infty$.

In this paper we will extend Theorem 2 by replacing L^1 by L^p and H^∞ by H^q , where $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Although the method of the proof is similar it is necessary to separate the cases $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $p = \infty, q = 1$ since L^1 is not reflexive.

2. Comments on the proof of Theorem 2 and the more general result.

Since $H^\infty \subset L^1$, $1 < p < \infty$, the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are strengthened if L^1 is replaced by L^p and H^∞ by H^q . Therefore, Theorem 2 still asserts the existence of $\varphi \in L^1$ such that

$$l(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})\varphi(\theta) d\theta$$

for $f \in H^\infty$ if the stronger hypotheses are satisfied. To obtain the stronger conclusion by using Theorem 1 would require two seemingly difficult steps, (1) to show that $\varphi \in L^p$, rather than $\varphi \in L^1$, (2) to show that the representation is valid for all $f \in H^q$, instead of just H^∞ . Although Mooney [3] did complete the proof of Theorem 2 by extending the validity of the representation from a subspace to all of H^∞ , this does not seem viable when comparing H^∞ with H^q . In fact, it is much simpler to proceed directly. However, the attempt to proceed from Theorem 2 makes the general result seem plausible. The case $p = \infty$ and $q = 1$ does not seem to be suggested by Theorem 2.

3. The case $1 < p, q < \infty$.

THEOREM 3. Let $\{\varphi_n\} \subset L^p$, $1 < p < \infty$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})\varphi_n(\theta) d\theta = l(f)$$

exists for all $f \in H^q$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

Then there exists $\varphi \in L^p$ such that

$$l(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})\varphi(e^{i\theta})\varphi(\theta) d\theta$$

for all $f \in H^q$.

Proof. Set

$$l_n(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})\varphi_n(\theta) d\theta;$$

then $l_n \in (H^q)^*$ which by the Hahn-Banach Theorem has an extension $\hat{l}_n \in (L^q)^*$. Moreover, by the Uniform Boundedness Principle the l_n 's are uniformly bounded and hence the \hat{l}_n 's. Since $(L^q)^*$ may be identified with L^p the l_n 's may be identified with a bounded subset of L^p . Since L^p is reflexive bounded subsets are weakly compact, there exists $\varphi \in L^p$ and a subsequence $\{\varphi_{n_k}\} \subset L^p$ which converges weakly to φ , i.e.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta) \varphi_{n_k}(\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta) \varphi(\theta) d\theta$$

for all $g \in L^q$. If $f \in H^q$, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \varphi_{n_k}(\theta) d\theta = \hat{l}_{n_k}(f) = l_{n_k}(f)$$

but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n(f) = l(f)$ so that

$$l(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \varphi(\theta) d\theta.$$

The proof of Theorem 3 is considerably shorter than that of Theorem 2 for several reasons, although it is basically similar. In Kahane's construction it is necessary to restrict l_n to A in order to obtain the integral representation for $l(f)$. Unfortunately the representation is given by a measure so it is then necessary to show that it is absolutely continuous and hence given by an L^1 function. Because of the restriction to A , Mooney's construction is necessary to show that the representation is valid for H^∞ .

4. The case $p = \infty$, $q = 1$.

THEOREM 4. Let $\{\varphi_n\} \subset L^\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \varphi_n(\theta) d\theta = l(f)$$

exists for all $f \in H^1$. Then there exists $\varphi \in L^\infty$ such that

$$l(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \varphi(\theta) d\theta$$

exists for all $f \in H^1$.

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3 set

$$l_n(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \varphi_n(\theta) d\theta.$$

Then $l_n \in (H^1)^*$ and extends to $\hat{l}_n \in (L^1)^*$. Since $(L^1)^*$ may be identified with L^∞ , the l_n 's may be identified with a bounded subset of L^∞ (use the U.B. Principle again). By Alaoglu's Theorem, the unit ball of L^∞ is weak* compact. Without loss of generality we may assume $\|l_n\| \leq 1$ so that there is a $\varphi \in L^\infty$ and a subsequence $\{\hat{\varphi}_{n_k}\}$ which converges weak* to φ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta) \hat{\varphi}_{n_k}(\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta) \varphi(\theta) d\theta$$

for all $g \in L^1$, where $\hat{\varphi}_{n_k}$ is identified with \hat{l}_{n_k} which in the extension of l_n . For $f \in H^1$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \hat{\varphi}_{n_k}(\theta) d\theta = \hat{l}_{n_k}(f) = l_{n_k}(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \varphi_{n_k}(\theta) d\theta$$

and by hypothesis

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n(f) = l(f)$$

so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \varphi_n(\theta) d\theta = l(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \varphi(\theta) d\theta.$$

Combining Theorems 2, 3, 4 gives the desired complete general result.

4. Results. E. A. Heard [1] has announced a new proof of the weak sequential completeness of L^1 using Kahane's results. A similar approach to the weak sequential completeness of L^p , $1 < p < \infty$, is of no consequence, however, since the reflexive property was used in the proof of Theorem 3.

Unlike Kahane's and Mooney's results, Theorems 3 and 4 are independent of dimension, that is both generalize to Δ^N and T^N without any change in the proofs as follows.

THEOREM 5. Let Δ^N and T^N denote the N dimensional polydisc in C^N and its distinguished boundary, $N \geq 1$. Let $1 < p \leq \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $\{\varphi_n\} \subset L^p(T^N)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T^N} f^* \varphi_n = l(f)$$

exists for all $f \in H^q(\Delta^N)$ (f^* is the boundary function of f), then there exists $\varphi \in L^p(T^N)$ such that

$$l(f) = \int_{T^N} f^* \varphi$$

for all $f \in H^q(\Delta^N)$.

As observed by Kahane the hypothesis of Theorem 2 is the existence of

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} b_k, \text{ where } \varphi_n(\theta) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{n,k} e^{-ik\theta}, \text{ for all } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k e^{ik\theta} \in H^{\infty}(\Delta).$$

The conclusion of the theorem is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,k} = \int \varphi(\theta) e^{ik\theta} d\theta$ for some $\varphi \in L^1(T)$. Theorem 1 is a special case of this re-statement. In like manner Theorems 3 and 4 can be re-stated to give results analogous to Theorem 1.

An example. In the proofs of Theorems 2, 3, 4, 5 a crucial step is the extraction of a convergent subsequence. This convergent subsequence is obtained by the weak* sequential compactness of the unit ball, rather than just weak* compactness; and separability is a sufficient condition. The following example found in [3], p. 311, shows that in general separability can not be omitted.

By the natural imbedding l^1 is a subspace of $(l^{\infty})^*$ then $\{e_k\} \subset l^1$ is a bounded sequence in $(l^{\infty})^*$ but no subsequence is weakly convergent in $(l^{\infty})^*$.

References

- [1] E. A. Heard, *Kahanes construction and the weak sequential completeness l^1* , Abstract # 73T-B128, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 20, No. 3 (1973).
- [2] J. P. Kahane, *Another theorem on bounded analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18, No. 5 (1967), p. 827.
- [3] G. Köthe, *Topological vector spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1969.
- [4] M. C. Mooney, *A theorem on bounded analytic functions*, Pacific J. Math. 43, No. 2 (1972), p. 457.
- [5] G. Piranian, A. L. Shields and J. H. Wells, *Bounded analytic functions and absolutely continuous measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18, No. 5 (1967), p. 818.
- [6] A. E. Taylor, *Banach spaces of functions, analytic in the unit circle, II*, Studia Math. 12 (1951), p. 25.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 25.2.1974