

## REFERENCES

- Arad, M. (1998). Are unaccusatives aspectually characterized? (And other related questions). *MIT Working Papers in Linguistics 32: Papers from the UPenn/MIT Roundtable on Argument Structure and Aspect*, 1-20.
- Bach, E. (1986). The algebra of events. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 9, 5-16.
- Baker, M. C. (1988). *Incorporation: A Theory of Grammatical Function Changing*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Bever, T. G. & McElree, B. (1988). Empty Categories Access Their Antecedents During Comprehension. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 19, 35-43.
- Bever, T. G. & Sanz, M. (1997). Empty Categories Access Their Antecedents During Comprehension: Unaccusatives in Spanish. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 28, 68-91.
- Bever, T. G., Lackner, J. R. & Kirk, R. (1969). The underlying structures of sentences are the primary units of immediate speech processing. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 5, 222-231.
- Bever, T. G. (1970). The cognitive basis for linguistic structures. In R. Hayes (Ed.), *Cognition and Language Development* (pp. 279-362). New York: Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Boland, J. E., Tanenhaus, M. K., Garnsey, S. M., & Carlson, G. N. (1995). Verb argument structure in parsing and interpretation: Evidence from wh-questions. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 34, 774-806.
- Borer, H. (1988). Deriving passive without theta roles. In S. G. Lapointe, D. K. Brentari, & P. M. Farrell. (Eds.), *Morphology and Its Relation to Phonology and Syntax* (pp. 60-99). Stanford, CA: CSLI.
- Burgess, C. (1991). Interaction of semantic, syntactic and visual factors in syntactic ambiguity resolution. Doctoral dissertation, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY.
- Burzio, L. (1986). *Italian Syntax: a Government and Binding Approach*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Reidel.
- Carlson, G. N., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (1988). Thematic roles and language comprehension. In W. Wilkins (Ed.), *Syntax and Semantics 21* (pp. 263-288). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Carlson, G. N. (1977). References to kinds in English. Doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

- Carroll, J. M. (1978). Sentence perception units and levels of syntactic structure. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 23, 506-514.
- Carroll, J. M., & Tanenhaus, M. K. (1975). Functional clauses and sentence segmentation. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 21, 793-808.
- Chomsky, Noam. (1981). *Lectures on Government and Binding*. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Foris Publications.
- Chomsky, N. (1986). *Knowledge of Language*. New York: Praeger.
- Chomsky, N. (1995). *The Minimalist Program*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Clarke, C. M, D. J. Townsend, & T. G. Bever. (2000). The role of verb and preposition variables in reduced relative complexity. Poster presented at the CUNY Sentence Processing Conference, University of California, San Diego.
- Comrie, B. (1976). *Aspect*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Dowty, D. R. (1979). *Word Meaning and Montague Grammar*. Boston, MA: Dordrecht Reidel.
- Dowty, D. R. (1991). Thematic proto-roles and argument selection. *Language*, 67, 547-619.
- Elman, J. L. (1990). Finding structures in time. *Cognitive Science*, 14, 179-211.
- Filip, H., Tanenhaus, M. K., Carlson, G. N., Allopenna, P. D., & Blatt, J. (2001). Reduced relatives judged hard requires constraint-based analyses. In S. Stevenson & P. Merlo (Eds.), *Lexical Representations in Sentence Processing*. John Benjamins: Computational Psycholinguistics Series.
- Fodor, J. D., & Frazier, L. (1980). Is the human sentence parsing mechanism an ATN? *Cognition*, 8, 417-459.
- Fodor, J. A., & Bever, T. G. (1965). The psychological reality of linguistic segments. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 4, 414-420.
- Fodor, J. A., Bever, T. G., & Garrett, M. F. (1974). *Psychology of Language*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Folli, R. (2001). Constructing telicity in English and Italian. Doctoral dissertation, University of Oxford, Oxford, England.
- Folli, R., & Harley, H. (2002). Consuming results in Italian and English: Flavors of v. A paper presented at the NSF Workshop on Aspect at the University of Iowa, May. 24,

2002. To appear in a Kluwer volume edited by Paula Kempchinsky and Roumyana Slabakova.

Folli, R. & Harley, H. (2003). *Waltzing Matilda around and around: On the licensing of directed-motion resultatives*. Manuscript submitted for publication.

Frazier, L. & Clifton, C., Jr. (1996). *Construal*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Frazier, L. & Clifton, C., Jr. (1997). Construal: Overview, motivation, and some new evidence. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 26, 277-295.

Frazier, L. (1979). *On Comprehending Sentences: Syntactic Parsing Strategies*. Doctoral dissertation. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Linguistics Club.

Frazier, L. (1987). Sentence processing: A tutorial review. In M. Coltheart (Ed.), *Attention and Performance XII* (pp. 559-586). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Freedman, S. E. & K. I. Forster. (1985). The psychological status of overgenerated sentences. *Cognition*, 19, 101-131.

Friedmann, N., Taranto, G., Shapiro, L. P., & Swinney, D. (2003). The vase fell (the vase): The online processing of unaccusatives. In Y. Falk (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 19th IATL conference*.

Gahl, S., Menn, L., Ramsberger, G., Jurafsky, D., Elders, E., Rewega, M., & Holland, A. (in press). Syntactic frame and verb bias in aphasia: Plausibility judgments of undergoer-subject sentences. *Brain and Cognition*.

Gibson, E. (1991). A computational theory of human linguistic processing: Memory limitations and processing breakdown. Doctoral dissertation, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA.

Goldberg, A. (1995). *Constructions*. Chicago, IL: Chicago University Press.

Hale, K. & Keyser, J. (1993). On argument structure and the lexical representation of syntactic relations. In K. Hale & J. Keyser (Eds.), *The View From Building 20* (pp. 53-110). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Harley, H., & Noyer, R. (2000). Licensing in the non-lexicalist lexicon, in B. Peeters (Ed.), *The Lexicon/Encyclopaedia Interface* (pp. 349-374). Amsterdam: Elsevier Press.

Hinrichs, E. (1985). *A Compositional Semantics for Aktionsarten and NP Reference in English*. Doctoral dissertation, Ohio State University.

Hoekstra, T. (1984). *Transitivity: Grammatical Relations in Government-Binding Theory*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Foris.

- Jackendoff, R. (1990). *Semantic Structures*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Jackendoff, R. (1991). Parts and boundaries. *Cognition*, 41, 9-45.
- Jackendoff, R. (1997). *The Architecture of the Language Faculty*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Jackendoff, R. (2002). *Foundations of Language*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Just, M. A., Carpenter, P. A. & Woolley, J. D. (1982). Paradigm and processes in reading comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 3, 228-238.
- Kenny, A. (1963). *Action, Emotion, and Will*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Koopman, H. & Sportiche, D. (1991). The position of subjects. *Lingua*, 85, 211-258.
- Levin, B. & Rappaport Hovav, M. (1995). *Unaccusativity: At the syntax-lexical semantic interface*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- MacDonald, M. C. (1994). Probabilistic constraints and syntactic ambiguity resolution. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 9, 157-201.
- MacDonald, M. C., Pearlmutter, N. J. & Seidenberg, M. S. (1994). The lexical nature of syntactic ambiguity resolution. *Psychological Review*, 101, 676-703.
- Maurer, G., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Carlson, G. N. (1995). Implicit arguments in sentence processing. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 34, 357-382.
- McGinnis, M. (2002). On the systematic aspect of idioms. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 33, 665-672.
- McKoon, G. & Ratcliff, R. (2003). Meaning through syntax: Language comprehension and the reduced relative clause construction. *Psychological Review*, 110, 490-525.
- McRae, K., M. J. Spivey-Knowlton, & M. K. Tanenhaus. (1998). Modeling the influence of thematic fit (and other constraints) in on-line sentence comprehension. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 38, 283-312.
- Mittwoch, A. (1991). In defence of Vendler's achievements. *Cercle Belge de Linguistique*, 6, 71-85.
- Miyagawa, S. (1989). Light verbs and the ergative hypothesis. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 20, 659-668.

Moens, M. & Steedman, M. (1987). Temporal ontology in natural language. *Proceedings of the 25<sup>th</sup> ACL Meeting* (pp.1-7). Stanford, CA.

Nicol, J. L., Forster, K. I., & Veres, C. (1997). Subject-verb agreement processes in comprehension. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 36, 569-587.

O'Bryan, E. L. (2001). Syntax in performance: Minimalist derivation in the Late Assignment of Syntax Theory. *Coyote Papers: University of Arizona Working Papers in Linguistics*, 12, 17-27.

O'Bryan, E. L., Folli, R., Harley, H., Clarke, C. M., McRae, K., Townsend, D. J., & Bever, T. G. (2003). Event structure is accessed immediately in comprehension. Manuscript submitted for publication.

O'Bryan, E. L., Nicol, J. L., Bever, T. G., & Townsend, D. J. (2003). The auditory reduced relative effect and the filled gap effect. Manuscript submitted for publication.

O'Bryan, E. L., Townsend, D. J., & Bever, T. G. (2002). Slips of the ear: A new way to investigate post-sentence auditory representations. Poster presented at the CUNY Sentence Processing Conference. CUNY Graduate Center, New York.

Osterhout, L. & Swinney, D. (1993). On the temporal course of gap-filling during comprehension of verbal passives. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 22, 273-286.

Perlmutter, D. (1978). Impersonal passives and the Unaccusative Hypothesis. *Proceedings of BLS*, 4, 157-189. University of California, Berkeley.

Perlmutter, D. & Postal, P. (1983). Towards a universal characterization of passivization. In D. Perlmutter (Ed.), *Studies in Relational Grammar 1* (pp. 3-29). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Perlmutter, D. M., & Postal, P. M. (1984). The 1-advancement exclusiveness hypothesis. In D. Perlmutter & C. Rosen (Eds.), *Studies in Relational Grammar 2* (pp. 81-126). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Phillips, C. (1996). Order and Structure. Doctoral dissertation. Cambridge, MA: MIT Working Papers in Linguistics.

Piñango, M. M., Zurif, E., & Jackendoff, R. (1999). Real-time processing implications of enriched composition at the syntax-semantic interface. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 28, 395-414.

Pritchett, B. L. (1988). Garden path phenomena and the grammatical basis of language processing. *Language*, 64, 539-576.

- Pritchett, B. L. (1992). *Grammatical Competence and Parsing Performance*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Pustejovsky, J. (1991). The syntax of event structure. *Cognition*, 41, 47-81.
- Reinhart, T. (1997). Syntactic effects on lexical operations: Reflexives and unaccusatives. Utrecht, the Netherlands: OTS Working Papers in Linguistics.
- Ritter, E. & Rosen, S. T. (1998). Delimiting events in syntax. In M. Butt & W. Geuder (Eds.), *The Projection of Arguments* (pp. 135-164). Stanford, CA: CSLI.
- Rosen, S. T. (1999). The syntactic representation of linguistic events. *GLOT International*, 4, 3-11.
- Ryle, G. (1954). *Dilemmas*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Sanz, M. (1996). Telicity, objects, and the mapping onto predicate types: A cross-linguistic study of the role of syntax in processing. Doctoral dissertation, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY.
- Sanz, M. (2000). *Events and predication: A new approach to syntactic processing in English and Spanish*. Philadelphia, PA: John Benjamins.
- Schneider, D. & Phillips, C. (2001). Grammatical search and reanalysis. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 45, 308-336.
- Schneider, D. (1999). Parsing and incrementality. Doctoral dissertation, University of Delaware.
- Seegmiller, S. M., Ingrassia, K., & Townsend, D. J. (2003). *The Role of Telicity in Sentence Comprehension*. Presentation at the "It's About Time" workshop on Tense, Aspect, Modality, and Events. Michigan State University, Lansing.
- Smith, C. (1991). *The Parameter of Aspect*. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Spivey-Knowlton, M. J. (1996). Integration of visual and linguistic information: Human data and model simulations. Doctoral dissertation, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY.
- Stevenson, S. (1994). A competitive attachment model for resolving syntactic ambiguities in natural language parsing. Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland.
- Stevenson, S., & Merlo, P. (1997). Lexical structure and parsing complexity. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 12, 349-399.

- Swinney, D., Ford, M., Frauenfelder, U., & Bresnan, J. (1988). On the temporal course of gap-filling and antecedent assignment during sentence comprehension. In B. Grosz, R. Kaplan, M. Macken, & I. Sag (Eds.), *Language Structure and Processing*. Stanford, CA: CSLI.
- Tabossi, P., M. J. Spivey-Knowlton, K. McRae, & M. K. Tanenhaus. (1994). Semantic effects on syntactic ambiguity resolution: Evidence for a constraint-based resolution process. In C. Umiltà & M. Moscovitch (Eds.), *Attention and Performance XV: Conscious and Unconscious Information Processing*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Tenny, C. (1987). *Grammaticalizing Aspect and Affectedness*. Doctoral dissertation, MIT.
- Tenny, C. (1992). The Aspectual Interface hypothesis. In I. A. Sag & A. Szabolcsi (Eds.), *Lexical Matters*. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University.
- Townsend, D. J. & T. G. Bever. (1991). The use of higher-level constraints in monitoring for a speaker demonstrates functionally distinct levels of representation in discourse comprehension. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 6, 49-77.
- Townsend, D. J. & Bever, T. G. (2001). *Sentence Comprehension: The Integration of Habits and Rules*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Travis, L. (1994). Event phrase and a theory of functional categories. In P. Koskinen (Ed.), *Toronto Working Papers in Linguistics: the 1994 Annual Conference of the Canadian Linguistic Association* (pp. 559-570). Ontario, Canada: University of Toronto.
- Treadwell, K. E., Nicholas, C. D., & Bever, T. G. (2003). Differential constituent use by left- and right-handers in spoken and written sentence generation. Manuscript in preparation.
- Trueswell, J. C. & Tanenhaus, M. K. (1994). Toward a lexicalist framework of syntactic ambiguity resolution. In C. Clifton, L. Frazier, & K. Rayner (Eds.), *Perspectives on Sentence Processing* (pp. 155-180). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Trueswell, J. C., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Garnsey, S. M. (1994). Semantic influences on parsing: Use of thematic role information in syntactic ambiguity resolution. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 33, 285-318.
- Tsujimura, N. (1994). Unaccusative mismatches and resultatives in Japanese. *MIT Working Papers in Linguistics*, 24, 335-354.
- Tsujimura, N. (1996). *An Introduction to Japanese Linguistics*. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell Publishers.

van Hout, A. (2000). Event semantics in the lexicon-syntax interface: Verb frame alternations in Dutch and their acquisition. In C. Tenny & J. Pustejovsky (Eds.), *Events As Grammatical Objects* (pp. 239-282). Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.

van Voorst, J. (1988). *Event Structure*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.

Vendler, Z. (1957). Verbs and times. *The Philosophical Review*, LXVI, 143-160.

Vendler, Z. (1967). *Linguistics in Philosophy*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Verkuyl, H. J. (1972). *On the Compositional Nature of the Aspects*. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: D. Reidel.

Wagner, L. (2001). Aspectual influences on early tense comprehension. *Journal of Child Language*, 28, 661-681.

Wagner, L. (2002). Understanding completion entailments in the absence of agency cues. *Journal of Child Language*, 29, 109-125.

Zwaan, R. A. (1996). Processing narrative time shifts. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 22, 1196-1207.